Routine screening mammography: how important is the radiation-risk side of the benefit–risk equation?
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Abstract.
The potential radiation hazards associated with routine screening mammography, in terms of breast cancer induction, are discussed in the context of the potential benefits. The very low energy X-rays used in screening mammography (26–30 kVp) are expected to be more hazardous, per unit dose, than high-energy X- or γ-rays, such as those to which A-bomb survivors (from which radiation risk estimates are derived) were exposed. Based on in vitro studies using oncogenic transformation and chromosome aberration end-points, as well as theoretical estimates, it seems likely that low doses of low-energy X-rays produce an increased risk per unit dose (compared with high energy photons) of about a factor of 2. Because of the low doses involved in screening mammography, the benefit–risk ratio for older women would still be expected to be large, though for younger women the increase in the estimated radiation risk suggests a somewhat later age than currently recommended—by about 5–10 years—at which to commence routine breast screening.

1. Background

There has been much recent debate about the benefits of routine screening mammography (e.g. Olsen and Gøtzsche 2001, Miettinen et al. 2002). There has been rather less discussion about possible radiation-related risks associated with these examinations, specifically the risk of radiation-induced breast cancer, although some risk–benefit analyses have been reported (Howe et al. 1981, NCRP 1986, Feig et al. 1995, Law 1995, Jansen and Zoetlief 1995).

Glandular doses from screening mammography are low, typically around 3 mGy (Young and Burch 2000, Kruger and Schueller 2001) of 26–30 kVp low-energy X-rays. A particular issue here, however, is that these very low-energy X-rays are expected to be more hazardous, per unit dose, than high-energy X- or γ-rays (i.e. those on which radiation risk estimates are based, such as from the Hiroshima and Nagasaki A-bombs). The underlying biophysical reason for the

expected increase in biological effectiveness of these lower-energy X-rays is that they set in motion slower secondary electrons, with correspondingly higher LET (Brenner and Amols 1989, Kellerer 2002).

In this regard, Frankenberg et al. (2002) reported data on in vitro oncogenic transformations frequencies induced by 29-kVp X-rays relative to ‘conventional’ 200-kVp X-rays suggesting that the low-energy X-rays used in screening mammography are considerably more biologically effective. This conclusion echoes some earlier calculations on this subject (Brenner and Amols 1989) and a variety of earlier experimental data for chromosome aberration induction (see below).

An increase in the relative biological effectiveness (RBE) of low-energy mammographic X-rays compared with high-energy photons is of relevance in assessing the risk side of the benefit–risk equation for routine mammography. This is because radiation-related risks are currently calculated based on studies of populations (A-bomb survivors and women who received multiple fluoroscopies) exposed to high-energy photons (Howe et al. 1981, NCRP 1986, Feig et al. 1995, Law 1995, Jansen and Zoetlief 1995).

Of course, the significance of any enhancement in the biological effect of mammographic X-rays depends on its magnitude: Virsik and Harder (1978) estimated a low-dose RBE of 1.2 ± 0.8 for dicentric induction by 30-kVp relative to 150-kVp X-rays, and Verhaegen and Vral (1994) estimated a low-dose RBE of 1.6 ± 0.9 for micronucleus induction by 14-kVp relative to 350-kVp X-rays, while Sasaki et al. (1989) estimated a low-dose RBE of 0.83 ± 0.79 for dicentric aberration induction by 14.6 keV relative to 200 kV X-rays. More recently, Frankenberg et al. (2002) have presented data for an in vitro oncogenic transformation endpoint with an estimated low-dose RBE of 4.7 ± 4.2 for 29-kVp relative to 200-kVp X-rays.

2. Experimental observations

We measured in vitro oncogenic transformation frequencies in C3H10T1/2 cells, induced by monoenergetic X-rays in the 15–25 keV range (Marino...
produced at the X23A3 beamline (Long et al. 2001) at the Brookhaven National Synchrotron Light Source. Oncogenic transformation data for 15.2 keV monoenergetic X-rays are shown in figure 1. Using linear–quadratic fits (figure 1) to the low-dose data, we estimated a low-dose RBE (\( \alpha_{15\text{keV}}/\alpha_{662\text{keV}} \), see legend to figure 1) of 1.96 ± 0.78 for 15.2-keV X-rays relative to high-energy 662-keV \(^{137}\text{Cs} \) γ-rays. While these experiments are still in progress for this and other end-points, in no case did we estimate a low-dose RBE (defined, as above, as the ratio of \( \alpha \) terms) of > 1.5 relative to 250-kVp X-rays (0.2 mm Cu, 1 mm Al external filtration), or > 2.5 relative to \(^{137}\text{Cs} \) γ-rays.

These fairly modest RBE estimates are consistent with the earlier experimental data (see above), as well as theoretical estimates (Brenner and Amols 1989) of 1.3 (versus 250-kVp X-rays) and 2.0 (versus γ-rays at Hiroshima and Nagasaki), for the low-dose RBE of 23-kVp filtered X-rays. These enhancements are comparatively small because the differences in energy deposition patterns between the high- and low-energy photons are relatively subtle (Brenner and Amols 1989, Kellere 2002, Verhaegen and Castellano 2002).

If the risks per unit dose of mammographic X-rays are indeed about twice as large as those from the radiations at Hiroshima and Nagasaki, this would be of significance in assessing the benefit–risk balance for screening mammography. For example, figure 2 shows the age-dependent benefit–risk ratio, as estimated in the NCRP Report 85 (1986) for yearly mammogram examinations for 5 years, each producing a 2-mGy glandular dose. Here the ‘benefit’ is assumed to be a 10% decrease in mortality rate, and the excess relative risk of radiation-related breast cancer was appropriately derived from studies of the Japanese A-bomb survivors. Now, if it were assumed that low doses of mammographic X-rays are twice as hazardous, per unit dose, as the Japanese A-bomb γ-rays, then the mammographic benefit–risk ratios would be decreased, by this same factor of 2.

As illustrated in figure 2, such a reduction in the benefit–risk ratio could have implications about the age at which commencement of annual breast screening is recommended. For example, commencing routine screening at age 40 (American Cancer Society recommendation, Leitch et al. 1997) corresponds to reaching some minimum benefit–risk ratio (numerically equal to 0.75 for 15.2-keV X-rays) and 2.0 (versus recommended. For example, commencement routine screening at age 40 (American Cancer Society recommendation, Leitch et al. 1997) corresponds to reaching some minimum benefit–risk ratio (numerically equal to 0.75 for 15.2-keV X-rays) and 2.0 (versus

\[ \frac{15.2\text{ kV x rays}}{^{137}\text{Cs \gamma rays}} \]

Figure 1. Induced oncogenic transformation frequencies (and 68% confidence limits) in C3H10T\( \frac{1}{2} \) cells, measured as a function of the dose of 662-keV \(^{137}\text{Cs} \) γ-rays and 15.2 keV monoenergetic X-rays. The 15.2-keV monoenergetic X-rays were produced on the X23A3 beamline at the Brookhaven National Synchrotron Light Source (NSLS, Long et al. 2001), with dosimetry as described by Marino et al. (2002). For clarity, only low-dose data points are shown. The curves represent fits to the full data set using the models \( TF_{15\text{keV}} = \alpha_{15\text{keV}} D + \beta D^2 \) and \( TF_{662\text{keV}} = \alpha_{662\text{keV}} D + \beta D^2 \), where \( \alpha_{15\text{keV}} = 0.90 \pm 0.15 \text{ Gy}^{-1} \) and \( \alpha_{662\text{keV}} = 0.46 \pm 0.11 \text{ Gy}^{-1} \); here \( TF \) is the induced transformation frequency and \( D \) is the dose.
7 in figure 2). If the benefit–risk ratio were halved because the radiation risk was twice that previously estimated, then the age at which this same benefit–risk ratio is reached would be increased, in this case from age 40 to 47 (figure 2). If annual screening were recommended from age 50 (NIH Consensus Panel 1997), doubling the radiation risk while keeping fixed the benefit–risk ratio for commencement of screening would imply an increase in the recommended age to begin screening, from age 50 to about 60 (figure 2). Similar quantitative conclusions are obtained if other estimates of the age-dependent benefit–risk ratio for mammographic screening (e.g. Law 1995) are re-analysed by doubling the radiation risk.

3. Conclusions

There is evidence that low-energy X-rays as used in mammographic screening produce an increased biological risk per unit dose relative to higher-energy photons. At low doses, the increased risk appears to be in the range of a factor of 2. Thus it is extremely unlikely that the radiation risk alone could prove to be a ‘show stopper’ regarding screening mammography because, for older women, the benefit is still likely to outweigh considerably the radiation risk. For women <50 years of age, however, this increase in the estimated radiation risk might indicate a somewhat later age than currently suggested, by about 5–10 years, at which to recommend commencement of routine breast screening.
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